



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/737,751	12/18/2000	Hiroshi Yanagawa	2000-1713	2790
513	7590 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			PROUTY, REBECCA E	
2033 K STRI SUITE 800	EET N. W.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20006-1021		1652	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/737,751	YANAGAWA ET AL					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Rebecca E. Prouty	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the substitution of this application of the substitution of the subst	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			et forth in				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	oroved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		Rebecca E. Prouty Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652	my				

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/737,751

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The negative limitation added to claim 13 and 21 appears fo be new matter. Pg. 17, lines 16-23 cited by applicant does not show that specific exclusion of nucleic acid from the labeling compound was comtemplated at the time of filing.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejection of Claims 13-15 and 20-22 under 102(b) as antivipated by Vince et al..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: rejection of Claims 13-22 under 103 as being obvious over Nemoto et al. in view of Promega Technical Bulletin No. 182 is maintained for the reasons of record.